11th June 2013

Claimant's Position Statement

Following the 7th June 13 conduct of the Claimant's High Court judge, stating his application was, 'totally without merit' and this very same judge, as last year, 'upheld' the trial judge's removal of the 'politically sensitive' incidents within the case, all bluntly indicating 'HM' irregularities within the Cardiff judiciary, he has now caused the Claimant to search again for any original or copies of witness statements gathered since 1993.

'Deja vu' statements as being 'lost' or possibly 'mislaid' by 'HM' is just as was indicated when 2003 Claimant files went 'missing' when the HM Attorney General's expensive five year Whitehall investigation started with the view of blocking the Claimant from any UK courts, once and for all, by the handing down of a Section 42, 'Vexatious Litigant' Order.

The Defendant's protracted conduct, including fabricated Claimant incarcerations, MAPPA level 3 registration, terrorist category and the attempted sectioning of the Claimant under the 1983 Mental Health Act is now compounded by numerous but mysterious thefts of his legal papers from his cars, three within these last few months.

The Claimant's 7th June application, to appeal to the Court of Appeal, was for the 'key players' behind the bullying, including twelve current and past senior officers in the South Wales Police, to give evidence for having allowed it in the first place and also having been responsible for the failure to investigate the countless crimes committed, over the twenty years, against both the Claimant, his home and his veterinary surgeries.

'Covert police surveillance' and countless 'stops' on the high way of a veterinary surgeon, simply wishing to go about his business, when senior officers were simply on a 'fishing exercise', to obtain motoring convictions to get him 'struck off' the veterinary register, is exactly the same reason the trial judge cited when refusing the Claimant their evidence.

Enclosed is as an example of the Claimant and his past family's right for some privacy it being the original 23rd April 09 witness statement already referred to in court by the witness but only allowed to give evidence without it before the open tape recorded public court.

Maurice J Kirk BVSc

11th June 2013